

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: 21 June 2023 11:25

To: NortonStPhilip Clerk <clerk@nortonstphilipparishcouncil.gov.uk> ([REDACTED])

Subject: Thanks/ [REDACTED]

Without prejudice.....for the detailed response, pretty much as I understand it but.....

As I have said before, according to PC evidence the purpose of the VGI was to stop development and the LGS is/was a backstop on the remainder if said action failed. FACT.....as I say, in evidence.

I have instructed Solicitor and Counsel, bearing in mind what has passed they have considered the current position, past events and accepted instructions.....not my preferred option!

I am perfectly happy to liaise with the PC in the future, outside of the LGS, bearing in mind I previously offered ALL the remainder land freehold and free and clearly the VG was "a given".

However it must be from a level playing field! As I cannot stop myself saying " I offered all the remainder land freehold and free and all the PC do is explore ways to control the Land having made the huge mistake of confrontation last time around ". Years on, same mistake!

Clearly anyone in the Village that purchased and owns property freehold and free must be concerned the PC do not have eyes on their ownership! That cannot be right.

Avoid what has passed at your peril and do take advice on the implications.....the principles of public life and all that.....

[REDACTED] on behalf of [REDACTED], landowner.